

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT TACOMA

ANGEL ROMULO DEL VALLE

CASTILLO, et al.,

Petitioners,

v.

CAMMILLA WAMSLEY, et al.,

Respondents.

Case No. 2:25-cv-02054-TMC

ORDER CONVERTING TEMPORARY
RESTRAINING ORDER TO
PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION


On November 14, 2025, the Court invited briefing on whether the TRO (Dkt. 19) should be converted into a preliminary injunction lasting until the Court rules on the habeas petition. Dkt. 23. Petitioners filed a supplemental brief representing that Respondents did not oppose converting the TRO and that such conversion was appropriate because there were no material changes in circumstances that might alter the Court's prior findings. Dkt. 24 at 1 n.1. Respondents did not file a supplemental brief and did not raise the issue at the hearing on November 21.

To preserve the status quo pending the Court's ruling on the habeas petition, the Court finds good cause to convert its TRO to a preliminary injunction. *See United States v. City of*

1 *Seattle*, 474 F. Supp. 3d 1181, 1185 (W.D. Wash. 2020) (“The standard for issuing a TRO is the
2 same as the standard for issuing a preliminary injunction.”). The Court therefore ORDERS:

- 3 1. Respondents, including their officers, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, or
4 others acting on their behalf, continue to be PROHIBITED from transferring any
5 Petitioner from the Northwest Immigration and Customs Enforcement Processing
6 Center to any other facility during the pendency of these proceedings unless they seek
7 modification of this Order or there is a final, executable removal order for any
8 Petitioner.
- 9 2. This Order remains in effect until the Court enters final judgment in this case.
- 10 3. Respondents have not requested a bond and the Court waives the bond requirement
11 under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 65(c).

12
13 Dated this 21st day of November, 2025.

14 
15 _____
16 Tiffany M. Cartwright
17 United States District Judge
18
19
20
21
22
23
24